

# Valuing the greenness of NRENs

Paola Grosso

Arie Taal

Daniel Romão

System and Network Engineering research group

University of Amsterdam



# Greenness: trade of between end-user's and network owner's interests

## Multiple diverse network aspects:

- Latency [s]
- Service costs, write-off costs [Euro,\$]
- Power dissipation [W]
- Utilization of a network device [%]
- Power Usage Efficiency (PUE) of equipment housing
- CO<sub>2</sub>-emission [g.CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh]  
(depends on energy type supplied:  
anthracite 870 g.CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh, wind turbines 10 g.CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh)

Search for a quality measure able to take diverse network aspects into account.

Requirements quality measure:

- Should behave according to expectations
- Every aspect, regardless its value, should have the same importance, e.g.:  
CO<sub>2</sub>-emission of 800 [g.CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh]  
has same importance as  
PUE of 1.6  
has same importance as  
Latency of 3 ms.

## Valuing the effect of a single aspect

Single aspect dispersion measures available:

e.g. Variance,

Gini-coefficient from economics

Gini-coefficient in economics measures the degree of inequality in the distribution of family income in a country.

- Luxembourg 0.26 (2005)
- Netherlands 0.309 (2007)
- Panama 0.519 (2010)

The lower the value of the Gini-coefficient the more equality in the distribution (not enough information)

Network node ← people

Utilized capacity ← income

## Multi aspect quality measure needed

Relative efficiency of a node

Define Inputs and Outputs for a node

Inputs are 'negative' aspects (to be as low as possible)

Outputs are 'positive' aspects (to be as high as possible)

Compare Inputs/Outputs of each device with corresponding Inputs/Outputs of a device with highest efficiency, so Watts are compared to Watts, and Euros are compared to Euros.

# Relative efficiency of a network node

Example. 4 aspects of network node  $i$ :

- $P_i$  (power) [W]
- $u_i$  (utilization max. capacity)
- $PUE_i$  (Power Usage Efficiency)
- $X_i$  (emission cost) [g. CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh]

1. Design method to determine a reference node  $p$  with which all other nodes are compared.

2. Compare each node  $i$  with node  $p$  according to

$$z_i = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{P_p}{P_i} + \frac{PUE_p}{PUE_i} + \frac{X_p}{X_i} \right) * \left( \frac{u_i}{u_p} \right) = z_{i\_inputs} * z_{i\_outputs}$$

$z_i$  is relative input efficiency times relative output efficiency

Reference node  $p$  is network node with the best  $z_{i\_inputs}$  and best  $z_{i\_outputs}$  of all nodes in the network  $\rightarrow z_i < z_p = 1$

## Example

3 SURFnet paths from demo  
construct matrix

$$R(o,j) = 1/3( P_o/P_j + PUE_o/PUE_j + X_p/X_i ) * (u_j/u_o)$$

R(o,j)	j=0	j=1	j=2	
o=0	1	0.57	0.21	(Delft)
o=1	1.68	1	0.38	(Groningen)
o=2	4.66	2.70	1	(Maastricht)

Choose node 0 as reference node →

$$Z_1 = 0.21, Z_2 = 0.57, Z_0 = 1$$

## Relative efficiency for a network node

Gives an ordering on nodes of a network for multiple diverse aspects:

$$0 \leq \dots \leq z_i \leq z_{i+1} \leq \dots \leq z_p \leq 1$$

Ordering can also be used to decide where investments are most effective.

Investment into a better PUE of a  $path(i)$  of nodes such that  $z_{path(i)}$  increases from 0.21  $\rightarrow$  0.38 is a better investment than one into  $path(j)$  where  $z_{path(j)}$  increases from 0.85  $\rightarrow$  0.857, if both investment costs are comparable.



## Relative efficiency for a network node

Quality measure from rel. efficiency

How far off are the rel. efficiencies from 1

$$\text{Dev} = 1/N \sum (1 - z_i) = 1 - Q$$

$$Q = 1/N \sum z_i$$

## Dispersion measures on NRENs

Example:

[http://green3.lab.uvalight.net/Surfnet/power\\_demo/powergui.html](http://green3.lab.uvalight.net/Surfnet/power_demo/powergui.html)



## powergui.html

Scenario 1: Default settings 3 paths

Traffic according workday stats

Energy type the same (400)

PUE the same (2.4)

Calculate: -> Rel Eff.

$z_{\text{Delft}} = 1$ ,  $z_{\text{Gron}} = 0.57$ ,  $z_{\text{Mstr}} = 0.21$ ,  $Q=0.59$

Delft has less nodes in path and higher traffic.

Traffic patterns 'fixed'

Improve PUE Maastricht - > 1.2

$z_{\text{Delft}} = 1$ ,  $z_{\text{Gron}} = 0.57$ ,  $z_{\text{Mstr}} = 0.31$ ,  $Q=0.63$

## powergui.html

Scenario 2: PUE improvement expensive, try better energy type for Maastricht path

Traffic according workday stats

Energy type the same (400, 400, 40)

PUE the same (2.4)

Calculate: -> Rel Eff.

$z_{\text{Delft}} = 1$ ,  $z_{\text{Gron}} = 0.57$ ,  $z_{\text{Mstr}} = 0.70$ ,  $Q=0.76$

default:

$z_{\text{Delft}} = 1$ ,  $z_{\text{Gron}} = 0.57$ ,  $z_{\text{Mstr}} = 0.21$ ,  $Q=0.59$

single aspect dispersion measures

Variance and Gini-coefficient, of the utilization of max. capacity, only change if the traffic over a path changes.